Approved for Release: 2016/06/10 C06552082

~~CONFIDENTIAL//NOFORN~~

NR

[redacted]

(b)(1)
(b)(3) CIAAct
(b)(3) NatSecAct
(b)(6)

NOTE FOR: [redacted]

FROM: [redacted]

OFFICE: CTC

DATE: 02/01/2002 01:02:12 PM

SUBJECT: POW's and Questioning

(b)(1)
(b)(3) CIAAct
(b)(3) NatSecAct
(b)(6)

(b)(3) CIAAct
(b)(5)
(b)(6)

[redacted]

In short, if a detainee were granted POW status, and therefore is covered by the Geneva convention, there are few alternatives to simply asking questions.

[redacted]

(b)(5)

~~CONFIDENTIAL//NOFORN~~

Downloaded from The Rendition Project
www.therenditionproject.org.uk

Source: ACLU

Approved for Release: 2016/06/10 C06552082

Approved for Release: 2016/06/10 C06552082

(b)(5)

then the optic becomes how legally defensible is a particular act that probably violates the convention, but ultimately saves lives.

(b)(3) CIAAct
(b)(5)
(b)(6)

Downloaded from The Rendition Project
www.therenditionproject.org.uk
Source: ACLU

Approved for Release: 2016/06/10 C06552082

Approved for Release: 2016/06/10 C06552082

~~CONFIDENTIAL//NOFORN~~

(b)(3) CIAAct
(b)(5)
(b)(6)

CC:
Sent on 1 February 2002 at 01:02:12 PM

Downloaded from The Rendition Project
www.therenditionproject.org.uk
Source: ACLU

Approved for Release: 2016/06/10 C06552082