

(b)(3) CIAAct
(b)(3) NatSecAct

22 January 2003

MEMORANDUM FOR:

(b)(1)
(b)(3) CIAAct
(b)(3) NatSecAct
(b)(6)

FROM:

OFFICE:

(b)(3) CIAAct

SUBJECT: (b)(3) CIAAct

CONCERNS OVER REVISED INTERROGATION PLAN FOR NASHIRI

REFERENCE: (b)(6)

Below is a cable that I drafted which I don't expect to go anywhere but I want it entered for the record.

(b)(5)
(b)(6)

Originator
(b)(3) CIAAct
(b)(6)

Previous Reviewer(s)

Current Reviewer

Future Reviewer(s)

(b)(1)
(b)(3) CIAAct
(b)(3) NatSecAct
(b)(6)

(b)(1)
(b)(3) CIAAct
(b)(3) NatSecAct
(b)(6)

~~SECRET~~

STAFF

TO: IMMEDIATE

(b)(1)
(b)(3) NatSecAct

~~SECRET//X1~~

FROM:

(b)(1)
(b)(3) CIAAct
(b)(3) NatSecAct

SUBJECT: CONCERNS OVER REVISED INTERROGATION PLAN FOR NASHIRI

REF: (b)(1) [redacted]
(b)(3) NatSecAct

TEXT:

1. ACTION REQUIRED: NONE, FYI.

2. APPRECIATE REF DETAILED INTERROGATION PLAN FOR NASHIRI, HOWEVER, WE HAVE SERIOUS RESERVATIONS WITH THE CONTINUED USE OF ENHANCED TECHNIQUES WITH NASHIRI (SUBJECT) AND ITS LONG TERM IMPACT ON HIM. SUBJECT HAS BEEN HELD FOR THREE MONTHS IN VERY DIFFICULT CONDITIONS, BOTH PHYSICALLY AND MENTALLY. IT IS THE ASSESSMENT OF PRIOR INTERROGATORS THAT NASHIRI HAS BEEN MAINLY TRUTHFUL AND IS NOT WITHHOLDING SIGNIFICANT INFORMATION. TO CONTINUE TO USE ENHANCED TECHNIQUE WITHOUT CLEAR INDICATIONS THAT WITHHOLDING IMPORTANT INFO IS EXCESSIVE AND MAY CAUSE HIM TO CEASE COOPERATION ON ANY LEVEL. SUBJECT MAY COME TO THE CONCLUSION THAT WHETHER HE COOPERATES OR NOT, HE WILL CONTINUALLY BE SUBJECTED TO ENHANCED TECHNIQUES, THEREFORE, WHAT IS THE INCENTIVE FOR CONTINUED COOPERATION. ALSO, BOTH C/CTC/RG AND HVT INTERROGATOR [redacted] WHO DEPARTED [redacted] IN [redacted] JANUARY, BELIEVE CONTINUED ENHANCED METHODS MAY PUSH SUBJECT OVER THE EDGE PSYCHOLOGICALLY.

3. ANOTHER AREA OF CONCERN IS THE USE OF THE PSYCHOLOGIST AS AN INTERROGATOR. THE ROLE OF THE OPS PSYCHOLOGIST IS TO BE A DETACHED OBSERVER AND SERVE AS A CHECK ON THE INTERROGATOR TO PREVENT THE INTERROGATOR FROM ANY UNINTENTIONAL EXCESS OF

SECRET//X1

**PRESSURE WHICH MIGHT CAUSE PERMANENT PSYCHOLOGICAL HARM TO THE
SUBJECT. THE MEDICAL OFFICER IS ON HAND TO PROVIDE THE SAME
PROTECTION FROM PHYSICAL ACTIONS THAT MIGHT HARM THE SUBJECT.**

**HEREFORE, THE MEDICAL OFFICER AND THE PSYCHOLOGIST SHOULD NOT
SERVE AS AN INTERROGATOR, WHICH IS A CONFLICT OF RESPONSIBILITY.**

**WE NOTE THAT REF CONTAINS A PSYCHOLOGICAL INTERROGATION
ASSESSMENT BY (b)(3) CIAAct PSYCHOLOGIST WHICH IS TO BE
CARRIED OUT BY INTERROGATOR WE HAVE A PROBLEM WITH HIM
CONDUCTING BOTH ROLES SIMULTANEOUSLY.**

(b)(1)
(b)(3) CIAAct
(b)(3) NatSecAct
(b)(6)

CC:

Sent on 22 January 2003 at 11:25:11 AM

SECRET//X1